

# Wildfire Events at the Triassic-Jurassic Boundary of the Tabas Basin, Central Iran

**Majid M Alipour** (✉ [alipour@scu.ac.ir](mailto:alipour@scu.ac.ir))

Department of Petroleum Geology and Sedimentary Basins, Faculty of Earth Sciences, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Iran <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3759-993X>

**Bahram Alizadeh**

Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz

**AmirAbbas Jahangard**

Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz

**AhmadReza GandomiSani**



National Iranian Oil Company, Exploration Directorate (ED)

---

## Research

**Keywords:** Wildfire Events, Triassic-Jurassic Boundary, Nayband and Ab-e-Haji formations, Tabas Basin, Central Iran

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-97849/v1>

**License:**   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

---

# Abstract

This paper presents organic geochemical evidence pointing to the occurrence of wildfire events at the Triassic-Jurassic boundary in Central Iran. The studied outcrop section (the Kamarmacheh Kuh section) is comprised of the Upper Triassic Nayband Formation which passes conformably into the Lower Jurassic Ab-e-Haji Formation with no sharp boundary. Organic petrographical studies reveal a higher concentration of semi-fusinite macerals and microscopic charcoal at the boundary between studied formations. This observation can be an evidence for widespread wildfire events at the Triassic-Jurassic boundary of the studied area. Following these fires, vast areas of land were exposed for erosion and large volumes of clastic sediments were provided due to increased run-off. This agrees well with previous sedimentological and stratigraphical studies suggesting a major change in the depositional conditions from marine to non-marine at the Triassic-Jurassic boundary of the Tabas Basin. These findings can have important implications about paleo-depositional settings of the studied formations and the nature of the associated organic matter.

## 1. Introduction

Wildfires across Triassic-Jurassic (T-J) boundary have been reported from various parts of the world including Turkey (Korkmaz and Gülbay 2007), Poland (Marynowski and Simoneit 2009), USA (Jones et al. 2002), and Australia (Jiang et al. 1998). This paper, is the first report of paleo-wildfire events across the T-J boundary of the Tabas Basin (Central Iran). The stratigraphic properties and depositional environments of sedimentary units around the T-J boundary have been previously studied in Central Iran (Seyed-Emami 2003; Seyed-Emami et al. 2004; Shadan and Hosseini-Barzi 2013; Wilmsen et al. 2009a). However, limited studies have been conducted to address their organic geochemistry and paleo-depositional environments (Alizadeh et al. 2011; Alizadeh et al. 2010; Zamansani et al. 2019).

A thick sedimentary package, consisting of the Nayband and Ab-e-Haji formations ( $\approx 1600$  m), is available at Kamarmacheh Kuh section located about 50 km SW of the Tabas city (Fig. 1). Unlike many other places in Iran, sedimentation across the T-J boundary is continuous at this location. The fine-grained marine siliciclastic and carbonate sediments of the Nayband Formation contain abundant fossils and pass into the overlying fluvial siliciclastic sediments of the Ab-e-Haji Formation conformably. These sediments are systematically sampled in this study and was subjected to detailed organic geochemical analyses to better understand geochemical variations across this important time boundary.

## 2. Geological Settings

The Mesozoic stratigraphy of Central Iran has largely been controlled by its tectonic history (Wilmsen et al. 2009b). During most of the Paleozoic the Central Iranian micro-continent was part of the Gondwana (Berberian and King 1981; Stöcklin 1974). However, it became detached from Gondwana during the Permian and collided with Eurasia during the Late Triassic (Stöcklin 1974). This collision resulted in the Eo-Cimmerian event (Wilmsen et al. 2009b), whereby the Middle Triassic platform carbonates (i.e. the Shotori Formation) were uplifted, eroded, and unconformably overlain by a large siliciclastic sequence (i.e. the

Norian-Bajocian Shemshak Group) (Fürsich et al. 2009; Stöcklin et al. 1965). Consequently, the lower boundary of the Shemshak Group in Central Iran is marked by the Eo-Cimmerian unconformity (Fig. 2).

Another important event related to the main-uplift phase of the Cimmerian Orogeny occurred at the T-J boundary (Fig. 2). This event (i.e. the Main Cimmerian event) signifies the ending of marine sedimentation (i.e. Nayband Formation) and establishment of non-marine/fluvial depositional regimes (i.e. Ab-e-Haji Formation) (Wilmsen et al. 2009a). Although the exact reason for this unconformity is not clear yet, some authors have related it to the slab break-off of the Paleo-Tethys oceanic crust (Wilmsen et al. 2009b). Nevertheless, the subduction initiation of the Neo-Tethyan oceanic floor during the latest Triassic-Early Jurassic time (Hassanzadeh and Wernicke 2016) may also have played some roles during the Main Cimmerian event.

The Mid-Cimmerian unconformity defines the upper boundary of the Shemshak Group in Central Iran (Fig. 2). This event represents a brief pulse of uplift during the mid-Bajocian and has been ascribed to the break-up of South Caspian Basin (Wilmsen et al. 2009b).

Accordingly, the Mesozoic stratigraphy of the Central Iran is characterized by subduction processes and extensional tectonic regimes over much of the area (Seyed-Emami 2003). This is particularly the case with the Lower Jurassic sediments which are characterized by widespread presence of basic volcanic and volcano-clastic units (Fürsich et al. 2005b; Seyed-Emami 2003).

### **3. Analytical Method**

A total of 41 samples were collected from a surface outcrop of the Nayband and Ab-e-Haji formations in the Kamarmacheh Kuh section (Fig. 1). Special care was taken in collecting samples as to pick them from non-weathered fresh parts of the rocks.

These samples were first analyzed by Rock-Eval pyrolysis instrument for geochemical evaluation. Prior to analysis, the samples were grounded in the laboratory and stored in the oven for 24 hours under a constant temperature of 40 °C to eliminate moisture. Approximately 70 mg aliquots were loaded into crucibles and analyzed following the standard guidelines (Espitalié et al. 1977).

Representative samples were selected from each of the studied formations for petrographic evaluations. For this, crushed aliquots of the samples were mounted in epoxy resin, ground and polished for microscopic studies. A computerized Zeiss Axioplan (II) photomicroscope equipped with a J&M photomultiplier calibrated with standards of known reflectance (0.431 to 5.35%) was used for organic petrographic analyses. Observations were made using standard guidelines (Stach et al. 1982; Taylor et al. 1998) under reflected light using a 100X oil immersed objective.

## **4. Results**

### **4.1. Rock-Eval pyrolysis**

Rock-Eval parameters obtained from pyrolysis of Nayband and Ab-e-Haji samples (Table 1) indicate minor potential for generation of hydrocarbons from the associated organic matter. This is consistent with previous findings about the quality of organic matter preserved in the studied formations (Alizadeh et al. 2011; Yousefi and Behbahani 2017; Zamansani et al. 2019).

**Table 1** Rock-Eval pyrolysis data for samples from Nayband and Ab-e-Haji formations.

	Sample	Rock-Eval data				Calculated ratios				
		TOC (wt%)	S <sub>1</sub> (mg HC/g rock)	S <sub>2</sub> (mg HC/g rock)	S <sub>3</sub> (mg CO <sub>2</sub> /g rock)	Tmax (°C)	HI (mg HC/g TOC)	OI (mg HC/g TOC)	S <sub>2</sub> /S <sub>3</sub>	PI
Ab-e-Haji Formation	A-41	0.46	0.05	0.21	0.13	380	45.65	28.26	1.62	0.19
	A-40	0.47	0.03	0.15	0.19	385	31.91	40.43	0.79	0.17
	A-39	0.55	0.03	0.13	0.25	484	23.64	45.45	0.52	0.19
	A-38	0.51	0.04	0.2	0.23	610	39.22	45.10	0.87	0.17
	A-37	0.59	0.03	0.43	0.28	612	72.88	47.46	1.54	0.07
	A-36	0.75	0.07	0.37	0.16	444	49.33	21.33	2.31	0.16
	A-35	0.31	0.03	0.2	0.44	381	64.52	141.94	0.45	0.13
	A-34	0.32	0.03	0.07	0.1	472	21.88	31.25	0.70	0.30
	A-33	0.69	0.14	0.47	0.09	612	68.12	13.04	5.22	0.23
	A-32	0.28	0.03	0.09	0.13	389	32.14	46.43	0.69	0.25
	A-31	0.32	0.05	0.29	0.15	612	90.63	46.88	1.93	0.15
	A-30	0.56	0.01	0.15	0.24	461	26.79	42.86	0.63	0.06
	A-29	0.86	0.02	0.07	0.29	601	8.14	33.72	0.24	0.22
	A-28	0.59	0.03	0.22	0.14	473	37.29	23.73	1.57	0.12
	A-27	0.57	0.03	0.3	0.26	383	52.63	45.61	1.15	0.09
	A-26	0.26	0.01	0.02	0.24	604	7.69	92.31	0.08	0.33
	A-25	0.57	0.01	0.03	0.31	531	5.26	54.39	0.10	0.25
	A-24	0.19	0.04	0.04	0.1	288	21.05	52.63	0.40	0.50
	A-23	0.2	0.02	0.53	0.08	612	26.50	40.00	6.63	0.04
	A-22	0.69	0.02	0.12	0.3	512	17.39	43.48	0.40	0.14
	A-21	0.58	0.03	0.18	0.13	513	31.03	22.41	1.38	0.14
	A-20	0.94	0.02	0.23	0.27	517	24.47	28.72	0.85	0.08
	A-19	0.61	0.04	0.08	11	605	13.11	1803.28	0.01	0.33
	A-18	0.52	0.03	0.13	0.22	490	25.00	42.31	0.59	0.19
A-17	1.92	0.14	0.61	0.45	461	31.77	23.44	1.36	0.19	
A-16	0.91	0.04	0.25	0.25	506	27.47	27.47	1.00	0.14	

	A-15	0.68	0.02	0.26	0.12	508	38.24	17.65	2.17	0.07
Nayband Formation	N-14	0.67	0.02	0.13	0.31	514	19.40	46.27	0.42	0.13
	N-13	0.91	0.03	0.29	0.15	489	31.87	16.48	1.93	0.09
	N-12	1.27	0.02	0.32	0.35	526	25.20	27.56	0.91	0.06
	N-11	0.42	0.01	0.01	0.18	454	2.38	42.86	0.06	0.50
	N-10	0.56	0.01	0.18	0.46	496	32.14	82.14	0.39	0.05
	N-9	0.59	0.01	0.05	0.4	518	8.47	67.80	0.13	0.17
	N-8	0.52	0.01	0.02	0.4	515	3.85	76.92	0.05	0.33
	N-7	0.58	0.01	0.4	0.3	523	68.97	51.72	1.33	0.02
	N-6	0.57	0.01	0.02	0.49	525	3.51	85.96	0.04	0.33
	N-5	0.62	0.01	0.08	0.34	609	12.90	54.84	0.24	0.11
	N-4	0.57	0.01	0.04	0.31	520	7.02	54.39	0.13	0.20
	N-3	0.51	0.01	0.03	0.39	516	5.88	76.47	0.08	0.25
	N-2	0.47	0.01	0.02	0.31	522	4.26	65.96	0.06	0.33
	N-1	0.54	0.01	0.02	0.42	521	3.70	77.78	0.05	0.33

According to the obtained geochemical parameters (Table 1 and Fig. 3), the organic matter contained within the Nayband formation is of terrestrial origin and has low hydrogen contents (e.g., HI values mostly below 70 mg HC/g TOC). This indicates that the organic matter suffered major oxidation during transport and/or sedimentation. Similarly, samples from the Ab-e-Haji Formation are consistent with predominantly hydrogen-poor terrestrial organic matter that was deposited under oxic conditions (Fig. 3). The very low HI values (mostly below 100 mg HC/g TOC) along with very low  $S_2$  readings (mostly below 1 mg HC/g rock) indicates that a major fraction of the associated TOC in both formations is inert and will not participate in the process of hydrocarbon generation (Table 1 and Fig. 3).

In terms of thermal maturity, the obtained  $T_{max}$  values should be used with caution. Owing to very low  $S_2$  readings for our samples,  $T_{max}$  values cannot provide an accurate measure of maturity. This is supported by the wide range of variation observed for the  $T_{max}$  readings (Fig. 3). Therefore, we rely upon vitrinite reflectance measurements for maturity evaluation of the studied formations.

#### 4.2. Organic petrography

Representative samples were selected from Nayband and Ab-e-Haji formations for detailed organic petrographic inspections and for vitrinite reflectance measurements (Table 2). In line with previous studies (Yousefi and Behbahani 2017), our readings indicate that the studied formations are thermally mature.

**Table 2** List of samples selected for organic petrographic analyses from the studied formations.

Formation	Sample	Mean VRo (%)	Standard deviation	Number of readings	VRo range (%)
<b>Ab-e-Haji</b>	A-39	1.12	0.38	100	0.35-0.88
	A-25	0.80	0.22	77	0.35-1.20
	A-18	0.83	0.16	34	0.55-1.0
	A-17	0.71	0.33	95	0.31-1.12
<b>Nayband</b>	N-13	1.02	0.27	39	0.52-1.27
	N-12	0.96	0.20	33	0.62-1.27
	N-7	0.88	0.18	38	0.52-1.22
	N-4	0.97	0.21	11	0.62-1.22

Organic petrographic inspections provides more robust assessment of the maceral constitution of studied samples. This technique is used in combination with bulk-rock pyrolysis data to better understand the variations of organic matter type among the studied formations. Our results indicate that the samples from lower parts of the Nayband Formation (i.e. samples N-4 and N-7) are rich in vitrinite and inertinite macerals (Fig. 4). In addition, vitrinite macerals are in most cases characterized with micro-fractures and dark oxidation rims. These are strong indications of the natural oxidation during transport and/or sedimentation (Lo and Cardott 1995), and are fully supported by Rock-Eval pyrolysis result mentioned above.

Moving up within the Nayband Formation (i.e. samples N-12 and N-13), the relative abundance of semifusinite macerals increases (Fig. 5 a, b). In addition, a relative increase in the abundance of combustion chars is noticed towards the top of the Nayband Formation (Fig. 5 c, d).

In a similar fashion, semifusinite and combustion chars are abundant in the lowermost samples of the Ab-e-Haji Formation (i.e. samples A-17 and A-18, Fig. 6). However, their abundance decreases rapidly towards the top of the section (i.e. samples A-25 and A-39), and vitrinites with marked weathering characteristics become the dominant maceral types (Fig. 7). It is noteworthy that weathering features are relatively stronger in the Ab-e-Haji samples compared to those observed in the underlying Nayband Formation (Fig. 7).

## 5. Discussion And Paleo-environmental Interpretation

Several lines of evidence corroborate the occurrence of wildfire events at the T-J boundary of Central Iran. In the aftermath of Eo-Cimmerian event, a large retrograding sedimentary sequence started across most of the Central Iranian Micro-continent. The originally marine conditions during deposition of the Nayband Formation gradually transformed into fluvial/continental environments during deposition of the Ab-e-Haji

Formation. Organic petrographic results obtained in this study are consistent with an increase in the concentration of semifusinite and combustion chars around the T-J boundary. Similar observations have been interpreted by other researchers as evidence for wildfire events (Collinson et al. 2007; Hudspith et al. 2012). Therefore, we suggest that widespread wildfire events could have occurred during the T-J boundary of Central Iran.

It is well known that wildfire events can remove vegetation cover and increase the surface water run-off, which in turn, would affect sedimentation in the adjacent depositional environments (Nichols and Jones 1992). The Late Triassic sediments of the Nayband Formation are composed of highly fossiliferous marine carbonates and shallow-marine siliciclastic sediments containing coal inter-layers (Fürsich et al. 2005a). In contrast, the Lower Jurassic Ab-e-Haji Formation consists of recycled fluvio-deltaic sediments deposited under humid conditions with short transport distances (Salehi et al. 2014; Shadan and Hosseini-Barzi 2013). Provenance studies on sandstones of the Ab-e-Haji Formation have indicated that these sediments were formed by recycling of older sedimentary rocks (Salehi et al. 2014; Shadan and Hosseini-Barzi 2013). Such conditions could have been met following a major wildfire event, which could potentially remove the vegetation cover and expose older sedimentary units (including the Nayband Formation) (Fig. 8). Additionally, the enhanced erosion and increased sediments supply into nearby depocenters would explain the exceptionally large thickness of sediments accumulated in the Jurassic system (Fürsich et al. 2005b). This model can also explain petrographic observations including the predominance of reworked organic matter in the Ab-e-Haji Formation. These organic constituents have likely experienced multiple cycles of transportation under oxic conditions and lost much of their hydrocarbon generation capacity.

A potential cause for the wildfire events documented herein could be the increased volcanic activity due to subduction of Neo-Tethyan oceanic crust. However, additional studies on the nature of the Jurassic volcanic rocks of the Central Iran are required to support this.

## **6. Conclusions**

Results from organic geochemical and petrographical analyses of a suit of samples from an Upper Triassic-Lower Jurassic sedimentary succession from Central Iran provides evidence for wildfire events at T-J boundary. The removal of vegetation cover exposed the older sedimentary units for enhanced erosion. Subsequently, a large thickness of recycled sediments were accumulated in the nearby depocenters. The organic matter contained in these sediments underwent intensive oxidation and therefore has negligible hydrocarbon generation potential. Additional evidence for widespread wildfire events could come from detailed PAH analysis of the extractable organic material and plant fossil studies across the T-J boundary.

## **Declarations**

### **Acknowledgments**

The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to the exploration directorate of NIOC for providing the data and to the Petroleum Geology and Geochemistry Research Center (PGRC) at Shahid Chamran



University of Ahvaz for providing laboratory services. We also wish to extend our thanks to Professor B.J. Cardott for his helpful comments on the organic petrography of the samples.

**Funding:** The exploration directorate of NIOC provided the data. The Petroleum Geology and Geochemistry Research Center (PGRC) at Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz provided laboratory services for conducting organic petrographic studies.

**Conflicts of interest/Competing interests:** None

**Availability of data and material:** Yes

**Code availability:** None

**Authors' contributions:** All authors agree they have read and approved the manuscript

**Ethics approval:** None

**Consent to participate:** No

**Consent for publication:** No

## References

1. Alizadeh B, Alipour M, Hosseini SH, A JA (2011) Paleoenvironmental reconstruction using biological markers for the Upper Triassic–Middle Jurassic sedimentary succession in Tabas Basin, central Iran *Organic Geochemistry* 42:431-437
2. Alizadeh B, Alipour M, Hosseini SH, Jahangard AA (2010) Geochemical characteristics of Triassic–Jurassic boundary in Tabas Basin, Central Iran Paper presented at the 63rd Geological Congress of Turkey, Turkey, 5-9 April
3. Berberian M, King G (1981) Towards a paleogeography and tectonic evolution of Iran *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences* 18:210-265
4. Collinson ME, Steart D, Scott A, Glasspool I, Hooker J (2007) Episodic fire, runoff and deposition at the Palaeocene–Eocene boundary *Journal of the Geological Society* 164:87-97
5. Espitalié J, Laporte JL, Madec M, Marquis F, Leplat P, Paulet J, Boutefeu A (1977) Méthode rapide de caractérisation des roches mères, de leur potentiel pétrolier et de leur degré d'évolution *Oil & Gas Science and Technology* 32:23-42
6. Fürsich F, Hautmann M, Senowbari-Daryan B, Seyed-Emami K (2005a) The Upper Triassic Nayband and Darkuh formations of east-central Iran: Stratigraphy, facies patterns and biota of extensional basins on an accreted terrane *Beringeria* 35:53-133
7. Fürsich FT, Wilmsen M, Seyed-Emami K, Cecca F, Majidifard MR (2005b) The upper Shemshak Formation (Toarcian–Aalenian) of the Eastern Alborz (Iran): Biota and palaeoenvironments during a transgressive–regressive cycle *Facies* 51:365-384

8. Fürsich FT, Wilmsen M, Seyed-Emami K, Majidifard MR (2009) Lithostratigraphy of the Upper Triassic–Middle Jurassic Shemshak Group of Northern Iran Geological Society, London, Special Publications 312:129-160
9. Hassanzadeh J, Wernicke BP (2016) The Neotethyan Sanandaj-Sirjan zone of Iran as an archetype for passive margin-arc transitions Tectonics 35:586-621
10. Hudspith V, Scott A, Collinson M, Pronina N, Beeley T (2012) Evaluating the extent to which wildfire history can be interpreted from inertinite distribution in coal pillars: An example from the Late Permian, Kuznetsk Basin, Russia International Journal of Coal Geology 89:13-25
11. Jiang C, Alexander R, Kagi RI, Murray AP (1998) Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in ancient sediments and their relationships to palaeoclimate Organic Geochemistry 29:1721-1735
12. Jones TP, Ash S, Figueiral I (2002) Late Triassic charcoal from Petrified Forest National Park, Arizona, USA Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 188:127-139
13. Korkmaz S, Gülbay RK (2007) Organic geochemical characteristics and depositional environments of the Jurassic coals in the eastern Taurus of Southern Turkey International Journal of Coal Geology 70:292-304
14. Lo H, Cardott B (1995) Detection of natural weathering of Upper McAlester coal and Woodford Shale, Oklahoma, USA Organic Geochemistry 22:73-83
15. Marynowski L, Simoneit BR (2009) Widespread Upper Triassic to Lower Jurassic wildfire records from Poland: evidence from charcoal and pyrolytic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons Palaios 24:785-798
16. Nichols G, Jones T (1992) Fusain in Carboniferous shallow marine sediments, Donegal, Ireland: the sedimentological effects of wildfire Sedimentology 39:487-502
17. Salehi MA, Moussavi-Harami SR, Mahboubi A, Wilmsen M, Heubeck C (2014) Tectonic and palaeogeographic implications of compositional variations within the siliciclastic Ab-Haji Formation (Lower Jurassic, east-central Iran) Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie-Abhandlungen 271:21-48
18. Seyed-Emami K (2003) Triassic in Iran Facies 48:91-106
19. Seyed-Emami K, Fürsich F, Wilmsen M (2004) Documentation and significance of tectonic events in the northern Tabas Block (east-central Iran) during the Middle and Late Jurassic Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia
20. Shadan M, Hosseini-Barzi M (2013) Petrography and geochemistry of the Ab-e-Haji Formation in central Iran: implications for provenance and tectonic setting in the southern part of the Tabas block Revista Mexicana de Ciencias Geológicas 30:80-95
21. Stach E, Mackowsky MT, Teichmüller M, Taylor G, Chandra D, Teichmüller R (1982) Stach's textbook of coal petrology. Gebrüder, Borntraeger, Berlin
22. Stöcklin J (1974) Possible ancient continental margins in Iran. In: The geology of continental margins. Springer, pp 873-887
23. Stöcklin J, Eftekhari-Nezhad J, Hushmand-Zadeh A (1965) Geology of the Shotori range (Tabas area, east Iran). vol 3. Geological Survey of Iran,

24. Taylor GH, Teichmüller M, Davis A, Diessel C, Littke R, Robert P (1998) Organic petrology. Gebrüder Borntraeger,
25. Wilmsen M, Fürsich FT, Seyed-Emami K, Majidifard MR (2009a) An overview of the stratigraphy and facies development of the Jurassic System on the Tabas Block, east-central Iran Geological Society, London, Special Publications 312:323-343
26. Wilmsen M, Fürsich FT, Seyed-Emami K, Majidifard MR, Taheri J (2009b) The Cimmerian Orogeny in northern Iran: Tectono-stratigraphic evidence from the foreland Terra Nova 21:211-218
27. Yousefi M, Behbahani R (2017) Organic geochemistry of the Late Triassic Nayband Formation at the Parvadeh Area, Tabas, East-Central Iran APPLIED SEDIMENTOLOGY 4:22-41
28. Zamansani N, Rajabzadeh MA, Littke R, Zieger L, Baniasad A (2019) Organic petrology and geochemistry of Triassic and Jurassic coals of the Tabas Basin, Northeastern/Central Iran International Journal of Coal Science & Technology 6:354-371